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Abstract

Background

Against the background of demographic change, German policymakers and society at large face major challenges when it comes to ensuring the country’s elderly receive the nursing care they need. The number of people requiring care is growing rapidly; at the same time, the ability of families to provide care will continue to diminish over the long term. A significant increase in professional nursing and home care will be needed as a result. The principle of giving priority to non-institutional options over institutional care will be a key factor in ensuring the future viability of Germany’s system of care provision. In addition, the vast majority of those requiring assistance, and their families, prefer care to be provided at home as opposed to in an institutional facility.

While there has been no significant increase in support nationally for the non-institutional versus the institutional sector since the year 1999, considerable differences now exist among Germany’s districts in terms of the level of home- and community-based services offered there and how those services have developed in recent years. Until now, non-institutional care has been the subject of little research. Preliminary statistical analysis shows, however, that the differences are dependent only to a small degree on socio-demographic factors such as the care recipient’s age, marital status or household income.

The goal of this explorative study is to identify the factors responsible for district-level differences in institutional and non-institutional care and to show which approaches can contribute to promoting non-institutional care in Germany’s districts. At the same time, it must be noted that as a result of the centralization of management structures at the federal and state levels, Germany’s districts have little ability to influence the situation directly.

Methodology

Based on a comparison of local-level care statistics and other relevant socio-demographic data, 6 urban districts and 10 rural districts from throughout Germany were chosen to participate in the study. Two test groups were created consisting of municipalities with nursing-care structures that favor non-institutional approaches. These were contrasted with two control groups made up of municipalities whose nursing care structure was largely institutionally based.

Local care structures and networks in these municipalities were analyzed in exploratory case studies. Research was carried out to review the relevant data and literature, and guided interviews were conducted with key participants in each of the local care markets. The study’s authors conducted a total of 200 interviews with 247 people in the 16 districts. In addition to local government employees, the interviewees included, in particular, departmental heads in the area of social affairs, select home-care service providers and nursing homes, representatives of local volunteer associations, personnel at the main counseling and nursing-care support centers, regional representatives of nursing-care insurance providers and staff at community housing associations, as well as social service managers and specialists in geriatrics and gerontological psychiatry at local hospitals.

Key findings

Within the framework of this comparative study, four key governance approaches were identified for promoting non-institutional care for the elderly at the local level. These approaches were much more prevalent in the “non-institutional” districts examined in the study than in the districts in the control group, which had a stronger focus on institutional care.
(1) **Building effective networks**

Extensive, active networks that bring together local actors are a key tool for promoting non-institutional structures for care provision. Success factors for building effective networks include, in particular, open and inclusive opportunities for participation, joint formulation of basic goals, creation of issue-specific working groups with clear mandates, active coordination by local government authorities and a pronounced willingness to participate on the part of nonprofit organizations.

(2) **Active inclusion of local-level administrators in shaping care**

Despite difficult background conditions resulting from the limited possibilities that Germany’s districts have for managing care directly and/or hierarchically, one strategic factor identified as critical for promoting non-institutional structures is active participation on the part of local public administrators and support from social-affairs policymakers in shaping resources for elder care. In addition to the coordination of networks, effective measures here include the initiation of participatory care planning and the support and promotion of volunteer home-visit organizations and neighborhood assistance groups, which provide crucial support in many municipalities. In order to take on this role effectively, local administrators require a minimum level of personnel who have been explicitly tasked with coordinating and planning.

(3) **Advising local investors and providers**

Advisory services for local investors and providers can play a key role in increasing the level of non-institutional care available in the community. These services have been actively used in many of the communities examined in the study to reinforce the idea that non-institutional options should be given priority over institutional care in the local market. A central aspect here is close coordination among the many relevant administrative departments. Some districts were thus able to prevent the establishment of unwanted additional institutional facilities. Innovative non-institutional organizations, such as those providing new types of housing, can be given crucial support through proactive advisory services that target investors and providers.

(4) **Providing adult day care to relieve family members**

Adult day care can provide relief for family caregivers, thereby stabilizing caregiving arrangements and delaying entry into a nursing home. Throughout Germany, operating adult day-care facilities in an economically efficient manner is seen as a major challenge. In a number of communities examined in the study, local authorities provided key support for increasing the number of day-care facilities by interpreting legal requirements in a way that made it possible to offer more services. Important synergies arise when a provider combines the operation of an adult day-care facility with home-care services. Some nonprofit organizations have even made the strategic decision to begin offering all the “links” in the chain of non-institutional and care-related services.

**Other findings**

In a few districts, other approaches have played a key role in promoting structures for non-institutional care. They include comprehensive home-based services for people with dementia and their families, effective advisory and case-management structures, the inclusion of specialists for geriatrics and gerontolog-
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